

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3672/2017 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 2 2 SEP 2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2017. (Sub: Appointment on Compassionate Ground)

1 Shri Nikhil M. Gosarde, R/o. Dattat Kripa Banglow, Near Maheshwari Garden Mangal Karyalaya, New M.S.E.B. Dhamani Road, Vishrambag, Sangli.

.....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1. The District Collector, Sangli, Rajwada Chowk, Sangli.
- 2. District Collector, Solapur, Solapur, Tal. Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur.
- 3. Division Commissioner (Revenue), Sadhu Vaswani Council Hall, Pune Camp Pune, Vidhan Bhavan, (Marathi), M.G. Road, Pune.

.....RESPONDENT/S.

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **18**th day of **September**, **2017** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.B. Kadam, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O., for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

DATE

18.09.2017.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

CORAM:

-Henrible Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Mon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK-(Mreabor)

Stri /Son - Ma. H. G. G

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents

O. A. cis

Advocate for the Applicant

· (Vice - Chairmen)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.574/2017

Mr. N.M. Gosarade

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA brought by the heirs and LRs of the deceased Naib Tahasildar can be disposed of here and now.

The Applicant is the son of the said deceased. The said deceased died on 7th December, 2016. The reason why the request for enlisting his name came to be rejected was vide Exh. 'F' (Page 26 of the Paper Book (PB)) and the ground was that he was a Group 'B' Officer (Non-gazetted) while the said entitlement is only to the heirs and LRs of Group 'C' and Group 'D. employees. After some debate at the Bar where my attention was invited to a Judgment rendered by this Tribunal presided over by me in OA 1008/2016 (Shri Ahijeet V. Mulik Vs. The District Collector, Kolhapur, dated 18th July, 2017). Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant told me that the facts therein and the facts herein are exactly similar. Now, as a matter of fact, the only ground on which the request was turned down has already been set out hereinabove. I do not feel call upon to decide any other contentious issue and if need be, it is left open and the consequent right is there for the concerned party to agitate. In my opinion, this OA can be disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to consider, if in the present set of facts, decision could be made relying upon Abhijeet Mulik (supra). The concerned authority is hereby directed to carefully peruse the Judgment and then take a decision without getting committed one way or the other.

This OA is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to decide the case of the Applicant under the guidance of OA 1008/2016 (Shri Ahijeet V. Mulik Vs. The District Collector, Kolhapur, dated 18th July, 2017) and in order to facilitate the said Respondent to proceed in the matter in accordance herewith Exh. 'F', dated 20.1.2017 (Page 26 of the PB) stands quashed and set aside. Compliance within eight weeks from today and the Applicant be informed of its outcome within one week thereafter. The OA is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

TRUE COP

Asst. Registran Reserration Chicer Maharashtra Administration Tributed (R.B. Malik) Vice-Chairman

18.09.2017

owed Out

(Vice-charzman)